

Working in industry: the good, the bad, and the ugly

John Acquavella, PhD Ryan Kilpatrick, PhD September 13, 2009 ACE Careers Workshop The comments provided here are solely those of the presenters and are not necessarily reflective of the positions, policies or practices of Amgen Inc.



Work History

- 1978-81 Environmental Protection Agency
- 1981-83 University of California
- 1983-89 Exxon Biomedical Sciences
- 1989-2004 Monsanto/Pharmacia
- 2004-present Amgen



Biomedical R & D Spending



The Good

- Team focus, sense of mission
- Interesting, varied work
- A very high % of good ideas get funded
- Support for individual development
- Subject matter experts readily available
- Good salary, benefits
- Employee retention is a management focus



The Bad¹

- Commercial influence needs to be considered
- Publication irregularities have been documented
- There is some negativity about observational research (versus experimental research)

1. Generic issues, not specific to any company



The Ugly (1): Peer Negativity

- Questions about going over to the "dark side"
- Not eligible for service on expert panels
- Unlikely to be journal editors or elected to epi society leadership positions [some exceptions]
- Journal peer review can be adversarial for nonscientific reasons
- Collaborations with government epidemiologists face political hurdles, lately insurmountable
- Cannot assure potential sponsors that your research will be judged fairly



The Ugly (2): Developing Caste System in Journals?

For industry-sponsored studies, an analysis of the data (entire raw data set, study protocol, and prespecified plan for data analysis) must be conducted by an independent statistician at an academic institution, such as a medical school, academic medical center, or government research institute, that has oversight over the person conducting the analysis and that is independent of the commercial sponsor.

Instructions for Authors, jama.ama-assn.org, August 29, 2007



My Advice: Interview Questions to Ask Prospective Employers

- How do you limit commercial influence on epidemiologic research – esp. whether research gets published regardless of outcome?
- Do you have any examples of early career epidemiologists as first authors on published papers?



Background/History – R Kilpatrick

- B.A., Psychology 2000, UCLA
 - Pre-Med: Decided to pursue M.S. in Epidemiology
 - 2001 Admitted to PhD program in Epidemiology
- Graduate Summer Internship 2005, Amgen, Inc
- PhD, Epidemiology 2007, UCLA
- 2007-present Amgen, Inc
 - Senior Manager, Epidemiology Department of biostatistics and epidemiology



My thoughts about industry *then* (grad school) vs. *now* (some experience)

Then (Grad School)	Now (After 2+ Years)
 Part of a corporate machine Anonymity, bureaucracy 	 Sometimes, although Epidemiology group is relatively small and approachable.
 More job security/stability. No need to write grants. 	 Yes. Ideas can be easier to advance into reality.
• Ethics may be compromised.	 Industry is very regulated/scrutinized.
	 Perception by non-industry colleagues can be challenge.
 Publications and external presence limited. 	 Pubs highly valued by company and department.
	 Can be more difficult due to perceived COI.
 Greater salary and benefits Perception of "sell-out" 	 True, although negative perceptions can be difficult

AMCE

What do I do at Amgen?

- Part of a matrix environment supporting development of a specific product.
 - Development team consists of clinical, safety, regulatory, statistics, epidemiology, health economics, others.
 - Creates and executes a development plan to support the safe and effective use of the drug.
- Part the epidemiology group and larger development organization.
 - Sit on internal peer review group
 - Consult/advise
 - Various initiatives.



Epidemiologists in industry are presented with number of complex (and interesting) issues

- Confounding by indication
 - May present serious and/or intractable bias
 - Time-dependent CBI can require advanced methods such as Marginal structural modeling and/or instrumental variable approaches
- Comparative effectiveness
 - Huge impetus to compare therapies from multiple, heterogeneous studies.
- Data sources are often large (claims, EMR) and are not ideally suited to study objectives.



Some projects I have worked on at Amgen

Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 3: 1077–1083, 2008.

Greater Epoetin alfa Responsiveness Is Associated With Improved Survival in Hemodialysis Patients

Ryan D. Kilpatrick,* Cathy W. Critchlow,* Steven Fishbane,[†] Anatole Besarab,[‡] Catherine Stehman-Breen,[§] Mahesh Krishnan,^{||} and Brian D. Bradbury*

Clin J Am Soc Nephrol (Under Revision).

Relationship between Epoetin-alfa (EPO) Dose and Mortality: Findings from a Marginal Structural Model Ouhong Wang,* Ryan D. Kilpatrick,* Cathy W. Critchlow, * Xiang Ling,* Brian D. Bradbury,* David T. Gilbertson, † Allan J. Collins, † Kenneth J. Rothman, ‡ John F. Acquavella*

Am J Kidney Dis. 2009 Sep;54(3):554-60

Evolving Statistical Methods to Facilitate Evaluation of the Causal Association Between Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agent Dose and Mortality in Nonexperimental Research: Strengths and Limitations

Brian D. Bradbury, MA, DSc,¹ M. Alan Brookhart, PhD,² Wolfgang C. Winkelmayer, MD, ScD, MPH,^{2,3} Cathy W. Critchlow, PhD,¹ Ryan D. Kilpatrick, PhD,¹ Marshall M. Joffe, MD, PhD, MPH,⁴ Harold I. Feldman, MD, MSCE,^{4,5} John F. Acquavella, PhD,¹ Ouhong Wang, PhD,¹ and Kenneth J. Rothman, DrPH^{2,6}



You might consider a career in industry if you...

- Enjoy a fast and productive pace.
- Work well in a multi-disciplinary team-based environment.
 - Can be the "epidemiology authority".
 - Can think on your feet and effectively communicate to diverse group.
- Are interested in complex methodological challenges and advanced epidemiologic methods.
- Can face the various perceptions and not take them personally.
- Want to make a direct impact on therapeutics development and patient care.

